Hackney

London Borough of Hackney – Decisions taken by the Cabinet Procurement and Insourcing Committee on Monday 3 July 2023

Decisions listed below that are Key Decisions will come into force and may then be implemented on the expiry of 5 clear working days after 11 July 2023 unless called-in by at least 5 non-executive members in writing and submitted to the Monitoring Officer.

Agenda	Торіс	Decision
Item No		

Part A – Items considered in public

8	AHI S169 Community Drug and Alcohol Treatment Services, Contract Variation, Overview of Grant Funding Streams, and Risk Management	RESOLVED: To agree a Contract Variation of £5m for the City and Hackney Integrated Drug and Alcohol Service (existing value £24m) commencing in April 2023 with all additional activity to be delivered by the end of March 2025. The revised maximum contract value including variation will be £29m.
		Reason(s) For Decision
		 Substance Use and its associated harms have become a significant focus for Central Government over the past two years, in part due to the publication of two reviews of substance use across the UK by Dame Carol Black.
		 This has led to an increased number of national strategic approaches to tackling drug related harms, including publication of an overarching ten year drug strategy and increases in funding, via grants, to help better meet the needs of local residents using drugs.
		3. The London Borough of Hackney (LBH) has been identified as a specific strategic partner for a number of these funding streams by Central Government, with drug harms across the borough having risen over the last ten years alongside an overall reduction in the capacity and funding of our substance use treatment provision.

Г

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision	
		4. In order to reduce levels of unmet need across the borough we have accepted invitations to apply for this grant funding, and have utilised this money to increase treatment capacity and diversity of offer from our core substance use service (delivered by Turning Point). We have also worked to develop our approaches through funding of other services with low or no representation in our substance use treatment system.	
		5. Single Tender Actions are being used to procure most of these services due to:	
		 The novel nature of the organisations Lower levels of funding requirements Guidance from Central Government Lack of an existing contract between the authority and the services regarding this specific delivery 	
		6. This report is presented to the Cabinet Procurement and Insourcing Committee to approve a contract variation of £5,000,000 for the delivery of community drug and alcohol treatment.	
		7. This paper details the necessity of our existing substance misuse service receiving this funding, as well as the steps taken to meet the principles of decision making, best value duty and to flag future risks to service delivery	
		8. What follows is a brief overview of the three relevant grant funding streams LBH have/are to receive and how they align to both local and national strategy.	
		The Rough Sleepers Drug and Alcohol Treatment Grant:	
		9. This funding aims to improve substance use treatment and health outcomes for people with substance use issues who are experiencing homelessness, aiming to reduce drug	

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

related deaths.
 The application period and lead time between approval and delivery for the first phase (Q4 2020/21 and all of 2021/22) was limited. Despite this, stakeholder engagement with partners was conducted (Appendix 1), with ongoing engagement throughout the process of preparing and submitting the bid.
11. Local Authorities were not informed at the start of the programme that there would be further funding and need for RSDATG delivery. Commissioners were informed of the continuation in March 2022, covering FY 22/23 and 23/24.
12. Application for funding was opened in June 2022, to be submitted by July 2022.
13. Further Stakeholder engagement was conducted, with consensus arising regarding access to wider ranges of clinical services, further Adult Social Care input and increased peer support.
14. The successful application for the second phase meets this by:
 Increasing staff and resources for the core substance use service (delivered by Turning Point)
 Additional funding to develop and increase staff within the LBH Supporting Transition and Empowering People Service (STEPS)
 A specialist Social Worker within LBH ASC Grant funding to a wider range of existing local services.
15. Project ADDER:
16. This programme was initiated by the Office of Health Improvement and Disparities (OHID,

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
		 previously Public Health England) in early 2021 aiming at system wide development work to address drug related harms. Specific outcomes of the programme are to: Reduce drug-related death Reduce drug-related offending Reduce the prevalence of drug use Achieve sustained and major disruption of high-harm criminals and networks involved in middle market drug/firearms supply and importation 17. The high profile programme acts as a 'trailblazer' for Central Government's 10 year drug strategy. In line with this the Metropolitan Police team covering Hackney also received significant funding. 18. Much like RSDATG, funding has also been confirmed and awarded a year at a time, with both short application periods and rapid timescales between approval and delivery. 19. In the first year the City and Hackney Public Health team were awarded £900,000. In the second and final year of the project (2022/23) £1,198,306.00. Due to underspend this funding will also cover an additional quarter of delivery (Q1 23/24). 20. Stakeholder consultation was undertaken to understand gaps in the treatment system, and to develop solutions to delivering against these gaps. 21. As part of this a number of smaller, community based, organisations were identified as requiring increases in their teams in order to work with a higher number of individuals,
		better connect to prisons for releases into the community and to undertake increased levels of outreach.

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
		22. Unlike the RSDATG, only a small amount of grant reprofiling has been necessary in the second year.
		23. Supplementary Substance Misuse Treatment and Recovery Grant (SSMTR):
		24. This grant funding is directly aligned to the 10 year drug strategy with the investment seeking to reach ambitious targets by 2025. The funding is significant and is likely to continue for multiple years. Despite this, and again much like RSDATG and ADDER, the turnaround periods from confirmation of funding available through to delivery are short.
		25. 2023/24 funding was confirmed on 16 Feb 2023, and 24/25 funding is currently indicative. We have been awarded £1,490,000 in the first year, with indicative funding at £2,890,000 in the second year.
		26. Application for 23/24 funding started in late Feb, with submissions to be made March 10th. Delivery of some aspects commenced in April 2023. We do not know about the second year's funding, but it is likely that the Central Government delivery mechanism will be similar next year.
		27. As we were asked to prepare for this funding we have re-engaged with partners to understand the current need across the substance use system. Through consultation, including through five themed stakeholder engagement workshops, it has been agreed that in the first year we will continue services started as part of RSDATG and ADDER as well as increase salaries (to aid staff retention) and bring further peer led provision into the borough.
		28. If the Office for Health Improvement and Disparities (OHID) are in agreement with this plan, further funding will be awarded to Turning Point to continue the work they started with

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision	
		earlier grant funded opportunities, as well as to increase salaries.	
		29. We have not yet finalised a plan of delivery for the second year, which will be developed jointly through the new multi-agency Combating Drugs Partnership, though we believe it i likely we will continue services delivered as part of year one.	
		30. The significant increase in the potential figure to be awarded to LBH from 24/25 onwards will be discussed throughout the coming year with partners, as well as Central Government. It is likely that a significant portion of this funding may need to be awarded to the City and Hackney Recovery Service or distributed through an appropriate procureme process.	
		31. As the SSMTR is part of the vehicle for change aimed at with the ten year drug strategy, we are expecting further funding to continue beyond 23/24 and 24/25, but this is uncertai	
		32. City and Hackney Recovery Service and increased funding; reasons and options	
		33. As detailed above, all decisions regarding system wide need have been made in consultation with partners. In these consultations consensus has driven our funding applications and consequent service delivery.	
		34. The extremely limited time between confirmation of funding and delivery start date for 23/24 has created severely limited options for procuring services in a timely manner. Additionally, without confirmation of 24/25, we are unable to initiate a procurement proce for that year.	
		35. Further to this, a procurement process that results in a new and different provider alongside the existing service would create substantial risks for service clients, due to th resources required to overcome technical limitations; it would also create substantial	

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision	
		 unnecessary duplication of costs. Examples include: Supporting wider partners/clinicians to understand the complexity of having multiple providers would be difficult and introduce risks of inappropriate or delayed referrals risking safety and quality of care. New, duplicated infrastructure would be needed for a new provider such as managerial and administrative staff, as well as other costs in service delivery (such as premises, equipment, IT, storage and procurement of consumables etc.) Mobilisation would need to be done at a speed incompatible with the single year time frames. Data management would be difficult. There would be no shared data management resource, data sharing would be challenging to develop and as a current data controller Turning Point would need to operate within organisational and legal information governance frameworks that make sharing confidential data complex. Institutional safeguarding concerns due to increased mobilisation times and data challenges. A more disjointed system would increase the challenges of responding effectively to safeguarding concerns. 36. These constraints mean that the existing City and Hackney Recovery Service provider is the only viable main provider of substance use services. A contract variation of £5m will provide the flexibility to provide the additional services required for 23/24 and 24/25. 	
		37. Funding forecast breakdown is outlined below. The newly created City and Hackney Combating Drugs Partnership (CDP) has agreed to 23/24 delivery, with the likelihood agreed services will continue into 24/25. Additional services to be awarded, and the potential need for reprofiling other funds from the grant envelope, will be agreed by the CDP during 23/24. This will be in line with OHID and Central Government timelines.	
		38. Value for money and quality have been assured through a thorough benchmarking	

Agenda Item No	Торіс	D	ecision	
		 process, both locally and nationally. Loc neighbouring commissioners and service templates and only signs off on budgets thresholds. Further quality assurance is with the provider. 39. The recommended contract variation is a increase in staff, improved pay and cond quality impacts the overall contract value 40. Additional funding breakdown 41. Below is a provisional breakdown of the and 24/25. 42. A significant contingency management of in funding in 24/25, as well as to enable grant envelopes mid-year. 	es, and nationally OHID ber which meet their value for r conducted quarterly throug an indication of how the gra litions, and increased treatm e of this commissioned serv services to be delivered co	nchmarks all budget money and quality ih contract monitoring int funding, with the nent capacity and ice. vering the period 23/24 arge increase indicated
		Intervention	Total forecast spend for Turning Point FY 23/24-24/25	Funding Stream
		Senior Recovery Worker	£55,125.00	SSMTRG
		Non Medical Prescriber	£135,198.00	SSMTRG
		Additional recovery workers	£462,462.80	SSMTRG

 Agenda
 Topic
 Decision

 Item No
 Decision
 Decision

Through the Gate Workers	£167,727.00	SSMTRG
Clinical Psychologist	£63,245.00	SSMTRG
Addictions Psychiatrist	£194,040.00	SSMTRG
Peer Mentoring Programme	£26,201.00	SSMTRG
Naloxone increases	£33,708.00	SSMTRG
Increased Dispensing Costs	£106,090.08	SSMTRG
pay increases	£457,400.16	SSMTRG
Dual Diagnosis Strategic Manager	£228,456.00	RSDATG
Dual Diagnosis Outreach Worker	£450,000.00	RSDATG
Trauma Aware Pathway navigator	£160,512.00	RSDATG
Data Coordinator	£64,174.00	RSDATG
Business Support Officer	£56,967.60	RSDATG
Nurse	£109,480.00	RSDATG
Alcohol Nurse	£109,480.00	RSDATG
General Practitioner	£20,000.00	RSDATG
Women's Healthcare Assistant	£44,804.40	RSDATG
Senior MH Practitioner / CBT Therapist	£52,962.40	RSDATG
Clinical supervisions	£11,040.00	RSDATG
Dual diagnosis training	£35,992.00	RSDATG
Contingency Management	£14,000.00	RSDATG
ADHD assessments	£16,000.00	RSDATG
Fibroscanner Lease	£42,000.00	RSDATG
NMP Nurse	£123,308.00	RSDATG
Contingency	£1,759,626.56	SSMTRG
Total	£5,000,000.00	

Agenda	Торіс	Decision	
Item No			

Reasons fo Rejecting
Complete a full procurement process for each new allocation of grant funding
Insourcing

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision	
		consultant psychiatrist and at present 90 Recovery Workers, it is therefore too complex to insource, and 	
9	AHI S222 Lime Tree Court & St Peter's House	to respond to the increase in drug related deaths and poor treatment outcomes. RESOLVED: To award the contract for the delivery of Care and support at Limetree Court & St Peters House to Supplier A for a period of up to four years in total (2+1+1) commencing in	
		November 2023 at a maximum cost of £3,126,339. Reasons For Decision See Business Case.	
		 This report asks CPIC to approve the award of contract for the delivery of Care and suppor at Limetree Court & St Peters House to Supplier A. Local Authorities, under the Care Act 2014, have a duty to promote wellbeing. The Act highlights the importance of preventing or delaying the development of needs for care and 	

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
		support and the importance of reducing needs that already exist. This service is designed to reduce the need for individuals to go into residential or nursing care homes wherever possible and to promote independence and self care.
		3. The contract will deliver care and support at both Housing with Care schemes to meet the local need.
		Alternative Options (Considered and Rejected)
		 The following 4 options were appraised for the future of the provision in the borough: Option 1: Insourced provision of care Option 2: Commissioned 24 hour scheme (preferred) Option 3: Commissioned Non-24 hour scheme Option 4: Commissioned rebranded sheltered scheme
		2. Option 2 was agreed as the preferred option by the Cabinet Procurement and Insourcing Committee in October 2022. Options 3 and 4 were considered as potential routes to savings but were not considered practical at this time (see section 5.9 of the Business Case Report).
		3. Insourcing was considered as an option, however at present this is not a viable option as the capacity to insource is currently interdependent upon the completion of the Council's Housing with Care review and redesign. Until the review and the outcome of the CQC inspection is favourable the continuation of commissioned provision for care and support is considered to be the best option in order to ensure and maintain service stability and value for money.
10	AHI S225 Adult Social Care Transformation Implementation	RESOLVED: To award the contract for the delivery of the Adults Social Care Transformation Project

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

Project - Contract Award Report	to Provider A for a period of up to 3 years at a maximum cost of £4,982,000.
	Reasons For Decision
	 The diagnostic identified a number of areas that would benefit from a redesign of how care is delivered to residents, helping people to stay independent, resilient and supported across long term care, learning disabilities and commissioning.
	 The programme of work is expected to improve outcomes for a potential 4,000 Hackney residents. These opportunities represent an anticipated benefit to the council of up to £32m over the next 5 – 6 years.
	3. This transformation programme is in the main about demand management and cost avoidance. There would not therefore be money to reinvest in public services but it will ensure more costs are avoided that would impact upon spend elsewhere in the council.
	4. Bids were invited via the CCS Management Consultancy 3, Lot 3 framework. Evaluation was completed by a team of relevant officers who gave recommended contract award to Provider A. The process was compliant with the descriptors set out in the invitation to further competition documents.
	5. The milestone objectives and payment schedules will be agreed with Provider A following contract award. This work will be overseen by a governance group whose membership will include senior officers from ASC transformation, operations, commissioning and finance and where required, legal. This group will also track progress of Provider A in achieving the outcomes sought.
	Alternative Options (Considered and Rejected)

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
		 The following 3 options were appraised for the future of the provision in the borough: Insourcing Direct Award Do Nothing Cabinet Procurement & Insourcing Committee approved the business case for the preferred procurement option in April 2023.
11	CHE S227 Main Contractor For Social Housing Decarbonisation Fund (SHDF) Capital Works To Hackney Owned Homes	This report was withdrawn from the agenda and will be considered by Cabinet on Monday 24 July 2023.